

ON EXCEPTIONAL NON-RENORMALIZATION PROPERTIES OF $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM₄

G. Arutyunov

*Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert-Einstein-Institut,
Am Mühlenberg 1, D-14476 Golm, Germany
Email:agleb@aei-potsdam.mpg.de*

Abstract

We discuss non-renormalization properties of some composite operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory.

Recently considerable attention was attracted to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory basically due to the prominent role it plays among the models realizing the holographic AdS/CFT duality [1]. In the superconformal phase the dynamics of the gauge theory is encoded in the correlation functions of the composite gauge invariant operators, which might exhibit in general a non-trivial behavior under the RG flow. In particular it is of great interest to determine the 4-point correlation functions (both holographic and weak coupling) of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supercurrent (stress-tensor) multiplet L and its OPE; the latter contains an information about many other composite operators present in the theory.

A superconformal primary operator generating L is a scalar O^I of dimension 2 transforming in the irrep **20** of the R -symmetry group $SU(4)$, $I = 1, \dots, 20$. Presently both the holographic [2] and the weak coupling [3] 4-point correlators of O^I and their OPE studies [4, 5, 6] are available.¹ Surprisingly composite operators² with vanishing anomalous dimensions were found [4] though naively unitarity allows the latter to appear in quantum interacting theory.

This note is based on the paper [6] and reviews a statement that the OPE of two primary operators from the multiplet L can contain superconformal

¹For studies of other correlation functions from stress-tensor multiplet see e.g. [7].

²They saturate the bound of the so-called series A) of unitary irreps of $SU(2, 2|4)$ and transform non-trivially under R -symmetry [8].

primary operators with a non-vanishing anomalous dimension *only* in the singlet of $SU(4)$.

It was found non-perturbatively [9] that the “quantum” part of the four-point function of O^I comprising all possible quantum corrections to the free-field result is given by a *single* function $F(v, u)$ of conformal cross-ratios, which we choose to be $v = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}$ and $u = 1 - \frac{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}$. Under $SU(4)$ the product of two O^I decomposes as $\mathbf{20} \times \mathbf{20} = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{20} + \mathbf{105} + \mathbf{84} + \mathbf{15} + \mathbf{175}$. The “quantum” part of the four-point function of the operators O^I projected on different irreps is

$$\langle O(x_1)O(x_2)O(x_3)O(x_4) \rangle_i = \frac{1}{x_{12}^4 x_{34}^4} P_i(v, u) \frac{v F(v, u)}{(1-u)^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $P_i(v, u)$ are certain polynomials [4, 5]. Every irrep i of $SU(4)$ in the OPE of two O^I represents a contribution from an infinite tower of operators $O_{\Delta, l}^i$, where Δ is the conformal dimension of the operator, l is its Lorentz spin. The corresponding contribution to the four-point function can then be represented as an expansion of the type

$$\langle O(x_1)O(x_2)O(x_3)O(x_4) \rangle_i = \sum_{\Delta, l} a_{\Delta, l}^i \mathcal{H}_{\Delta, l}(x_{1,2,3,4}). \quad (2)$$

Here $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta, l}(x_{1,2,3,4})$ denotes the (canonically normalized) Conformal Partial Wave Amplitude (CPWA) for the exchange of an operator $O_{\Delta, l}^i$ and $a_{\Delta, l}^i$ is a normalization constant. We treat the CPWA as a double series of the type

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta, l} = \frac{1}{x_{12}^4 x_{34}^4} v^{\frac{h}{2}} \sum_{n, m=0}^{\infty} c_{nm}^{\Delta, l} v^n u^m, \quad (3)$$

where the dimension Δ was split into a canonical part Δ_0 and an anomalous part h : $\Delta = \Delta_0 + h$. Assigning the grading parameter $T = 2n + m$ to the monomial $v^n u^m$ one can show that the monomials in (3) with the lowest value of T have $T = \Delta_0$, where Δ_0 is the canonical (free-field) dimension of the corresponding operator.

Comparing (1) and (2) one finds, within every fractional power $v^{\frac{h}{2}}$, the following compatibility conditions

$$P_i \sum_{\Delta, l} a_{\Delta, l}^j \mathcal{H}_{\Delta, l}(x_{1,2,3,4}) = P_j \sum_{\Delta, l} a_{\Delta, l}^i \mathcal{H}_{\Delta, l}(x_{1,2,3,4}) \quad (4)$$

which hold for all pairs. Here the sums are taken over operators which have the same h . Thus, eqs. (4) imply non-trivial relations between the CPWAs

of primary operators belonging to the same supersymmetry multiplet(s) with anomalous dimension h . Only one of these primary operators is the superconformal primary operator, i.e., it generates under supersymmetry the whole multiplet, while the others are its descendents.

Now we see that a superconformal primary operator appears only in the singlet of $SU(4)$. Indeed, let us choose in (4) the irrep j to be the singlet. The polynomial P_1 is distinguished from the other P_i 's by the presence of a constant term. Suppose that a superconformal primary operator with a canonical dimension Δ_0 contributes to the OPE and transforms in some irrep i which is not a singlet. Due to the constant in P_1 , the lowest-order monomials on the r.h.s. of (4) would have $T = 2n + m = \Delta_0$. Clearly, all the other P_i 's always raise the T -grading by at least unity. The lowest dimension operator with canonical dimension Δ'_0 in the singlet would have the lowest terms with at least $T = \Delta_0 - 1$ (or lower) to saturate (4). Hence, Δ'_0 is always lower than Δ_0 , and therefore the corresponding operator cannot be a supersymmetry descendent of an operator in the irrep i . This shows that anomalous superconformal primary operators are occure in the singlet of the R -symmetry group.

References

- [1] O. Aharony, S.S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, Y. Oz, *Phys. Rept.* **323**, 183 (2000), hep-th/9905111.
- [2] G.E. Arutyunov and S.A. Frolov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **544**, 576 (1999), hep-th/9806216; *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 064009 (2000), hep-th/9907085; *Nucl. Phys. B* **579**, 117 (2000), hep-th/9912210; *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 064016 (2000), hep-th/0002170; *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 026004 (1999), hep-th/9901121.
- [3] B. Eden, P.S. Howe, C. Schubert, E. Sokatchev and P.C. West, *Nucl. Phys. B* **557**, 355 (1999), hep-th/9811172; *Phys. Lett. B* **466**, 20 (1999), hep-th/9906051; B. Eden, C. Schubert and E. Sokatchev, *Phys. Lett. B* **482**, 309 (2000), hep-th/0003096; M. Bianchi, S. Kovacs, G. Rossi and Y. S. Stanev, *JHEP* **9908**, 020 (1999), hep-th/9906188; *Nucl. Phys. B* **584**, 216 (2000), hep-th/0003203; *JHEP* **0105**, 042 (2001), hep-th/0104016.

- [4] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov and A.C. Petkou, *Nucl. Phys. B* **586**, 547 (2000), [hep-th/0005182](#); *Nucl. Phys. B* **602**, 238 (2001), [hep-th/0010137](#).
- [5] G. Arutyunov, B. Eden, A.C. Petkou and E. Sokatchev, *Exceptional non-renormalization properties and OPE analysis of chiral four-point functions in $N = 4$ SYM(4)*, [hep-th/0103230](#).
- [6] G. Arutyunov, B. Eden and E. Sokatchev, *On non-renormalization and OPE in superconformal field theories*, [hep-th/0105254](#).
- [7] E. D'Hoker, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli, *Nucl. Phys. B* **589**, 38 (2000); E. D'Hoker, D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli, *Nucl. Phys. B* **562**, 353 (1999).
- [8] S. Ferrara and A. Zaffaroni, *Superconformal field theories, multiplet shortening, and the $AdS_5/SCFT_4$ correspondence*, [hep-th/9908163](#).
- [9] B. Eden, A. Petkou, C. Schubert and E. Sokatchev, *Partial non-renormalization of the stress-tensor four-point function in $N = 4$ SYM and AdS/CFT* , [hep-th/0009106](#).